



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,521	11/28/2003	Christopher M. Warnock	EBRY003	8904
22862	7590	05/02/2008	EXAMINER	
GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025				RASHID, DAVID
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2624				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/02/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/724,521	WARNOCK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DAVID P. RASHID	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DAVID P. RASHID (examiner). (3) Juliet Hurt.
- (2) Michael Glenn (Req. No. 30,176). (4) Chirs Radcliffe.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wang et al. (US 5,680,479).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed the newly added limitations and how they are distinguishable from the prior art of record, including the mapping, presenting, and analyzing steps of claim 1 outlined in Attachment A. Though no agreement was reached as to whether the newly added limitations overcome the prior art of record, the examiner agreed to take the applicants' representative's argument into consideration when looking at the newly added limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vikkram Bali/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, 2624

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.